



Virginia  
Regulatory  
Town Hall

## Periodic Review and Retention of Existing Regulations Agency Background Document

<b>Agency Name:</b>	State Board of Social Services
<b>VAC Chapter Number:</b>	22 VAC 40-470-10 et seq.
<b>Regulation Title:</b>	Exemptions Applicable to Public Assistance Programs
<b>Action Title:</b>	Periodic Review
<b>Date:</b>	June 18, 2003

This information is required pursuant to the Administrative Process Act § 9-6.14:25, Executive Order Twenty-Five (98), and Executive Order Fifty-Eight (99) which outline procedures for periodic review of regulations of agencies within the executive branch. Each existing regulation is to be reviewed at least once every three years and measured against the specific public health, safety, and welfare goals assigned by agencies during the promulgation process.

This form should be used where the agency is planning to retain an existing regulation.

### Summary

*Please provide a brief summary of the regulation. There is no need to state each provision; instead give a general description of the regulation and alert the reader to its subject matter and intent.*

This regulation makes foreign government restitution payments to Holocaust survivors disregarded in determining eligibility or benefit levels on or after August 1, 1994.

### Basis

*Please identify the state and/or federal source of legal authority for the regulation. The discussion of this authority should include a description of its scope and the extent to which the authority is mandatory or discretionary. Where applicable, explain where the regulation exceeds the minimum requirements of the state and/or federal mandate.*

The state source of legal authority for this regulation is the *Code of Virginia* § 63.2-217. This regulation does not exceed the scope of the legal authority.

### Public Comment

*Please summarize all public comment received as the result of the Notice of Periodic Review published in the Virginia Register and provide the agency response. Where applicable, describe critical issues or particular areas of concern in the regulation. Also please indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.*

No public comments were received for this regulation. A notice was published for a 30-day public comment period to review this regulation in the *Virginia Register*. Notices were also sent to interested parties and all local departments of social services.

### Effectiveness

*Please provide a description of the specific and measurable goals of the regulation. Detail the effectiveness of the regulation in achieving such goals and the specific reasons the agency has determined that the regulation is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens. Please assess the regulation's impact on the institution of the family and family stability. In addition, please indicate whether the regulation is clearly written and easily understandable by the individuals and entities affected.*

The goal of this regulation is to exempt payments from foreign governments to Holocaust survivors from being considered as an asset in determining eligibility for public assistance or benefit levels.

### Alternatives

*Please describe the specific alternatives for achieving the purpose of the existing regulation that have been considered as a part of the periodic review process. This description should include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and this regulation reflects the least burdensome alternative available for achieving the purpose of the regulation.*

No alternative was offered or considered to achieve the purpose of the existing regulation. The current regulation offers the least burdensome alternative available for achieving its purpose.

### Recommendation

*Please state that the agency is recommending that the regulation should stay in effect without change.*

It is recommended that this regulation remain in effect without amendment.